

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/829,315	04/09/2001	Hiroshi Inoue	10873.688US01	7111		
7:	590 07/08/2003					
Merchant & C	Sould P.C.	EXAMINER				
P.O. Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			WINTER, GENTLE E			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1746	6		
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	0		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Amust 41 24	<b></b>		-/					
		Application No		Applicant(s)						
Office Anting O		09/829,315		INOUE ET AL.	/					
Office Action Sui	mmary	Examiner	,	Art Unit						
		Gentle E. Winte		1746						
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	his communication ap	pears on the cove	er sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS  - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the period for reply specified above is letter to period for reply is specified above, Failure to reply within the set or extended.  - Any reply received by the Office later that earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (Status	COMMUNICATION. er the provisions of 37 CFR 1. late of this communication. ess than thirty (30) days, a rep the maximum statutory period t period for reply will, by statut in three months after the mailir	136(a). In no event, how only within the statutory min will apply and will expire the cause the application	vever, may a reply be tin nimum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. ommunication.					
1)⊠ Responsive to commun	ication(s) filed on 10	September 2001								
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		his action is non-								
3)☐ Since this application is	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pen	ding in the applicatio	n.								
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdra	wn from conside	ration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are all										
6) Claim(s) is/are rej										
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
	8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers										
9)☐ The specification is objec	ted to by the Examine	er.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on _	is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Exa	miner.						
Applicant may not reques	t that any objection to th	ne drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing co	rrection filed on	_ is: a)∏ approv	ed b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examine	er.					
If approved, corrected dra	wings are required in re	eply to this Office a	ction.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Ex	xaminer.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 a	nd 120									
13) Acknowledgment is mad	e of a claim for foreig	n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).						
a)	None of:			, , , , ,						
1. Certified copies of	the priority documen	ts have been rec	eived.							
<u> </u>	the priority documen			on No						
3. ☐ Copies of the certi	fied copies of the pricent the International Bu	ority documents h ureau (PCT Rule	ave been receive	ed in this National	Stage					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made			•		application)					
a) The translation of the		-			арриоапопу.					
15) Acknowledgment is made		• •								
Attachment(s)		. •	<b>50</b>	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s)	ring Review (PTO-948)	4) 5) 6)	Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No( Patent Application (PTC						
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office A	ction Summary		Part of Paper No. 6						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-3 and 16, drawn to a battery electrode, classified in class 429, subclass 128.
  - II. Claims 4-8, and 17 drawn to a method of manufacturing a battery electrode, classified in class 29, subclass 623.1.
  - III. Claims 9-15, drawn to an apparatus for manufacturing a battery electrode, classified in class 118, subclass 668.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions III and I are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus, such as a seam welder.
- 3. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 09/829,315

Art Unit: 1746

806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, namely, conventional soldering.

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, namely auto manufacture.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
  - a. Removing excess material by spraying air,
  - b. Removing excess material by brushing
  - c. Removing excess material by suction,
  - d. Surface of the anvil is plated with nickel,
  - e. Surface of the anvil is coated with ceramic.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Application/Control Number: 09/829,315

Art Unit: 1746

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. A telephone call was made to Douglas P. Mueller on June 17, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/829,315

Art Unit: 1746

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a

request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Gentle E. Winter whose telephone number is (703) 305-

3403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (703) 308-4333. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0661.

Gentle E. Winter

Examiner

Art Unit 1746

June 17, 2003

RANDY GULAKOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 5